

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Bex 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/855,556	05/16/2001	Timothy Warner	01101	1507
23338 7	7590 07/07/2003			
DENNISON, SCHULTZ & DOUGHERTY			EXAMINER	
1745 JEFFERS ARLINGTON	SON DAVIS HIGHWAY , VA 22202		COMBS, J	ANELL A
-			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1742	
			DATE MAILED: 07/07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			IN				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-				
Advisory Action	09/855,556	WARNER, TIMOTH	Υ				
nancely near	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>				
	Janelle Combs-Morillo	1742					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 11 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application and the same application and the same application are same applications.	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	ply to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 insign and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate exithe fee. The appropriate exithe final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment				
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: So		sidered but does NO	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly				
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-7 and 15.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8-14.							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a)□ approved or b)□ disapp	proved by the Exam	niner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	<u>. </u> .					
10. Other:							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 009/855,556





Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: "as cast grain size" as well as "the addition of nucleant particles" has not previously been claimed, and would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the argument that Miyasato doesn't teach the as cast grain size or the addition of Ti and B as nucleant particles is most because the amendment filed June 11, 2003 has not been entered (see above for reason). Because the prior art teaches a partly recrystallized aluminum alloy with substantially the same composition and processed substantially as presently claimed, the rejection is deemed proper (see final rejection, paper no. 7 for details)

GEORGE WYSZOMIERSKI PRIMARY EXAMINER

Jem